



**williams giles**  
Chartered Accountants

The Trustees  
Diocese of Canterbury Academies Trust  
Unit 31a, Folkestone Enterprise Centre (Basepoint)  
Shearway Business Park  
Folkestone  
Kent  
CT19 4RH

Dear Sirs

**REPORT TO MANAGEMENT**

During the course of our audit and regularity assurance engagements for the year ended 31 August 2017 a number of matters arose which we consider should be brought to your attention.

Accompanying this letter is a memorandum noting these points together with any recommendations we have for possible improvements which could be made.

These matters came to light during the course of our normal audit and assurance tests which are designed to assist us in forming our opinion on the financial statements and providing a limited assurance conclusion on regularity. Our tests may not necessarily disclose all errors or irregularities and should not be relied upon to do so. However, if any irregularity did come to our attention during our audit and assurance tests, we would, of course, inform you immediately.

This report has been prepared for the sole use of the trustees of The Diocese of Canterbury Multi Academy Trust. We understand that you are required to provide a copy of this report to the Education and Skills Funding Agency. With the exception of this, no reports may be provided to third parties without our prior consent. Consent is, and will only be, granted on the basis that such reports are not prepared with the interests of anyone other than the academy in mind and that we accept no duty or responsibility to any other party. No responsibilities are accepted by Williams Giles towards any party acting or refraining from action as a result of this report.

We would be grateful if you could enter the academy's comments against each point under the "management response" column of the memorandum and return it to us in due course.

Finally, we would like to express our thanks to all members of the academy's staff who assisted us in carrying out our work.

Yours faithfully

**Williams Giles**

**Office & Registered Office:**

12 Conqueror Court, Sittingbourne, Kent. ME10 5BH

Williams Giles is the trading name of Williams Giles Limited,  
a company registered in England and Wales. Co. No. 7038263

Registered Address  
Business & Tax Address

Tel: 01795 476044  
Fax: 01795 477757

Web: [www.williams-giles.co.uk](http://www.williams-giles.co.uk)  
Email: [acounting@williams-giles.co.uk](mailto:acounting@williams-giles.co.uk)



**SIGNIFICANT MATTERS RELEVANT TO OUR AUDIT AND REGULARITY ASSURANCE ENGAGEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 AUGUST 2017**

**Audit approach**

Our general audit approach was determined by our assessment of the audit risk, both in terms of the potential misstatement in the financial statements and of the control environment in which the company operates.

To summarise our approach, we:

- updated our understanding of the business and its environment;
- reviewed the design and implementation of key internal financial control systems; and
- planned and performed an audit with professional scepticism recognising that circumstances may exist that cause the financial statements to be materially misstated.

Significant risks arise on most audits and are often derived from business risks that may result in a material misstatement, relate to unusual transactions that occur infrequently, or judgemental matters where measurement is uncertain. In areas where we identified the potential for significant risk, we extended our audit testing to include more detailed substantive work. Our work in other areas was proportionally less.

We apply the concept of materiality both in planning and performing the audit, and in evaluating the effect of identified misstatements on the audit and of uncorrected misstatements. In general, misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Judgments about materiality are made in the light of surrounding circumstances, and are affected by our perception of the financial information needs of users of the financial statements, and by the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both.

There were no changes to our audit approach as previously communicated to you.

**Summary of significant audit findings**

Significant risk area identified at planning	Findings, significance and recommendations	Management response / timetable for action
<b>Other areas where issues were identified during the audit</b>	<b>Findings, significance and recommendations</b>	<b>Management response / timetable for action</b>
Fixed Assets	GREEN – a number of capital items were identified as having been posted as revenue expenditure. We recommend that any items of a capital nature are captured and recorded as such.	Noted
Purchases	AMBER – a number of items of expenditure did not have valid purchase orders attached. We recommend that the purchase order system is strictly followed.	Noted
MAT transactions	GREEN – the monthly journal to reconcile the position between the trust and individual schools does not always balance – we recommend a review with the system provider to establish the reason for a timing difference.	Noted – to review with system provider.
Conversion balances	GREEN – we note that transactions on conversion had not been posted. We recommend that with any further schools transferring into the trust this adjustment is recorded.	Noted



**Internal controls**

The purpose of the audit was for us to express an opinion on the financial statements. The audit included consideration of internal controls relevant to the preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. Our audit is, therefore, not designed to identify all control weaknesses and the matters reported below are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the audit.

Control weakness identified and significance	Potential implications and recommendations	Management response / timetable for action
--	--	--

**Update on prior year's management letter points**

Audit issues communicated in last year's management letter and our proposed approach to each of these areas, in light of developments in the [year] are outlined below:

Findings / recommendations	Status in current in year	Management response / timetable for action
<p>Fixed Asset Register – During the audit it was discovered that there was no formal recordings of disposals being made. This has caused the fixed asset register to be overstated. We would recommend that in future the fixed asset register is kept upto date with all additions and disposals to give a true and fair view with regards to the value of the assets within the trust.</p>	<p>Cleared in year with the exception of the fixed asset point noted above.</p>	
<p>Intercompany – During the audit it was found that intercompany balances are not being reconciled monthly. It would appear there is not a regular month end procedure for this and the result is recurring and increasing differences being posted to the intercompany accounts. We recommend that full month end procedures need to be put in place to ensure that all transactions are correctly accounted for across the trust.</p>	<p>Procedures are now in place, there is a residual difference that we recommend is investigated with the software providers.</p>	



### Summary of audit differences and draft letter of representation

Attached is a schedule of all of the unadjusted misstatements noted during our work. We would be grateful if you could review these and confirm that you are satisfied that none of these need to be adjusted for in the financial statements.

We have also attached a draft letter of management representations required in connection with our audit.

### Regularity assurance engagement findings

We conducted our regularity assurance engagement in accordance with the Academies Accounts Direction 2016 to 2017 issued by ESFA. We performed a limited assurance engagement as defined in our engagement letter.

The objective of a limited assurance engagement is to perform such procedures as to obtain information and explanations in order to provide us with sufficient appropriate evidence to express a negative conclusion on regularity. A limited assurance engagement is more limited in scope than a reasonable assurance engagement and consequently does not enable us to obtain assurance that we would become aware of all significant matters that might be identified in a reasonable assurance engagement. Accordingly, we do not express a positive opinion.

Our engagement included examination, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the regularity and propriety of the academy trust's income and expenditure. The work undertaken to draw our conclusions included:

- An assessment of the risk of material misstatement and irregularity
- Testing and review of areas identified through risk assessment including enquiry, inspection and review, observation and re-performance
- Review of system controls, policies and procedures in place to ensure compliance with the regularity regime
- Consideration of evidence obtained through the work performed as part of our audit in order to support the regularity conclusion

We anticipate that we will issue an unmodified regularity assurance report for the year, subject to the satisfactory clearance of any outstanding/unresolved the matters outlined in this report.

Regularity issues brought to your attention in connection with the regularity assurance engagement are as follows:

Findings and significance	Potential implications / financial impact and recommendations	Management response / timetable for action